Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Operator: AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY	Operator ID#: 32513
Inspection Date(s): 12/10/2015, 12/8/2015, 12/9/2015	Man Days: 6
Inspection Unit: Decatur	
Location of Audit: Decatur	
Exit Meeting Contact: Kevin Glaspy	
Inspection Type: Design Testing and Construction	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Donald Hankins, Bryan Pemble	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Michael Fuller	
Company Representative's Email Address: mfuller2@ameren.com	

	•		
CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION			
Location of Construction:		Construction P	erformed By:
6342 Autumn Ridge, Decatur, Illinois		Ameren Illinois	
Contractor Foreman:	No contractors at this job site.		
Operator Inspector:	Kevin Glaspy		
	Person(s) On J	ob Site	
Jason Hollingsead	Timothy Med	aris	Nick Hortenstine
Tim Ledbetter			
	<u> </u>		

Description of Construction:

Ameren Illinois was installing a new service line to 6342 Autumn Ridge. The service line was a 1 inch Driscoplex plastic pipe. Ameren installed a total of 300 feet of pipe, and air tested the pipe to 101 pounds for 10 minutes, with no leaks being found at the time of installation.

SERVICE INSTALLATION		Status
[192.55]	Steel	No
General Comment:	•	•
No steel pipe was installed on this job.		
[192.59]	PE	Yes
General Comment:	·	·
The pipe was 1/2 inch Driscoplex pipe.		

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

[192.59]	Other	No
General Comment:		
No other pipe was installed during this audit.		
[192.619,192.621,192.623]	MAOP	60# MAOP
[192.5]	Class location :	Class 3
[192.53]	Are components qualified for use?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
All components were qualified to use on this job.		
[192.63(a)(2)]	Pipe Size :	1/2 inch
[192.55,192.59]	Specification :	ASTM D-2513
[192.55,192.59]	Manufacturer:	Driscoplex
[192.55,192.59]	Pipe Grade :	Pe2406/2708
[192.55,192.59]	Wall Thickness :	.099 wall
[192.63]	Are pipe, valves, and fittings properly marked for identification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The pipe and fittings were properly marked.		
[192.227]	Welder qualification date:	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No welding was performed during this audit.		
[192.227]	Welder's Name:	Not Applicable
General Comment:	<u> </u>	
No welding was performed during this audit.		
[192.225,192.275,192.277,192.279,192.283]	Is pipe joined in accordance with approved written procedures?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Pipe was joined by procedures located in the O&M plan.		
[192.285]	Date of Qualification :	12-9-15
[192.285]	Joiner's name:	Jason Hollingsead
[192.455]	Is buried metallic pipe coated?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No metallic pipe was on this job site.		

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This was an all plastic gas system.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Anodes:	No
General Comment:		
This was an all plastic gas system.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Rectifier:	No
General Comment:		
This was an all plastic gas system.		
[192.461]	Does coating meet 192.461?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This was an all plastic gas system.		
[192.461(c)]	Is coating inspected just prior to being installed in the ditch?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This was an all plastic gas system.		
[192.479]	Are above ground facilities cleaned and coated or jacketed as needed?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
The above ground piping was clean and coate	d.	
[192.467]	Are pipelines electrically isolated from other underground metallic structures?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	·	
No underground metallic structures were locat	ed in this area.	
[192.319]	Is ditch back-filled to provide firm support and prevent damage to pipe or coating?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
The ditch was back filled to provide support or	the new service line that was installed.	
[192.321(c)]	Is plastic pipe installed as to minimize shear and tensile forces?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
A protective sleeve was installed at the service	e tee and pipe connection at the main.	
[192.321]	Does plastic pipe have means of locating while underground?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	<u> </u>	

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/12-10-2015

Page 3 of 6

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Tracer wire was installed along side of the	he new service line.	
[192.327(a)]	Is required cover being obtained for the service line?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The service line was installed at 43 inch	es deep.	
[192.503]	Are general testing requirements being met?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The service line was air tested to 101 po	ounds for 10 minutes with no leaks being found.	
[192.517]	Are records being made of strength and leak tests?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Pressure test records are being maintain	ned on this service line.	
[192.807]	Were covered employees Operator Qualification records reviewed to ensure qualification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Operator qualification records were insp	ected before this job was conducted.	
[192.805(c)]	Were non-qualified personnel being directed and observed by a qualified individual?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
Qualified personnel observed non-qualif	ied personnel.	
[192.805(c)]	Were span of control limitations being followed?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The span of control was 1 on 1.		
Was a Protocol 9 (Form 15) Cor	npleted?	No
General Comment:	1	
A protocol form15 was not completed du	uring this audit.	
[192.614]	Were One Call Notifications performed as required?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Ameren Illinois had a Julie notification n	umber on the job site.	
[192.614]	Dig Ticket #	X3360417
[192.383(b)]	Is an EFV installed on any new or replaced service line serving a single-family residence?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
An EFV flow valve was installed on to th	is service line.	
[192.751]	Are adequate steps taken to minimize the potential for	Satisfactory

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

	accidental ignition?		
General Comment:			
Steps were taken to prevent potential or accidental ignition.			

SERVICE INSTALLATION CHECKLIST Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.